Chemical Potential in Active Systems: Towards predicting Phase Equilibra from bulk equations of state using a Swim Potential

Marjolein Dijkstra,[1,*] Siddharth Paliwal,[1] Jeroen Rodenburg,[2] and René van Roij[2]

[1] *Soft Condensed Matter, Debye Institute for Nanomaterials Science, Utrecht University, Princetonplein 5, 3584 CC Utrecht, The Netherlands*

[2] *Institute for Theoretical Physics, Utrecht University, Princetonplein 1, 3584 CC Utrecht, The Netherlands*

(Dated: September 12, 2016)

We derive a microscopic expression for the chemical potential μ of active Brownian spheres in steady state. We show that μ consists of (i) an intrinsic chemical potential similar to passive systems, which depends on density *and* self-propulsion speed, but *not* on the external potential, (ii) the external potential, and (iii) a newly derived one-body swim potential due to the activity of the particles. Our simulations on active Brownian particles show good agreement with our Fokker-Planck calculations, and confirm that $\mu(z)$ is spatially constant for several inhomogeneous active fluids in their steady states. Finally, we show that gas-liquid coexistence of active particles with a planar interface satisfies not only mechanical but also diffusive equilibrium, which is well-described by equating the bulk chemical potential and bulk pressure obtained from bulk simulations.

PACS numbers: 82.70.Dd,64.75.Xc, 05.65.+b, 05.40.a, 05.70.Ce

arXiv:1609.02773v1 [cond-mat.soft] 7 Sep 2016

The non-equilibrium phase behavior of active Brownian spheres, that constantly convert energy into directed motion, has received considerable attention in recent years. However, as of yet there is no thermodynamic framework to describe the clustering phenomena, the pronounced accumulation of active particles at walls, and the observed coexistence of dilute and dense phases of active matter that resemble gas-liquid and gas-solid coexistence in passive systems [1–8]. In fact, even the definition of basic thermodynamic variables such as temperature and pressure of these active systems are being heavily debated. For instance, an effective temperature was introduced by Brady *et al.* [9], but it was shown that it depends not only on the Peclet number, but also on the external potential, and particle interactions [10–14]. Additionally, it was argued recently that the force per unit area on the wall can depend on the wall-particle interaction, which would imply that the pressure is not even a state function [15]. Interestingly, although the concepts of pressure and temperature may not yet be fully established in active systems, the chemical potential has hardly been discussed at all.

In this Letter, we derive a microscopic expression for the local chemical potential $\mu(z)$ of active Brownian spheres in a steady state that is spatially inhomogeneous, for simplicity in only the cartesian z-direction. We confirm using Brownian Dynamics simulations that $\mu(z)$ is spatially constant for active fluids in contact with a soft planar wall, in a gravitational field, and in gas-liquid coexistence with a planar interface. Next, we show that gas-liquid coexistence is described by diffusive and mechanical equilibrium with equal bulk pressure and bulk chemical potential of the coexisting phases, provided the swim potential that we introduce in this Letter, is properly taken into account.

We consider a three-dimensional dispersion of N active Brownian particles (ABPs) with positions $\mathbf{r}_i = (x_i, y_i, z_i)$ and orientations $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_i = (\sin\theta_i\cos\phi_i, \sin\theta_i\sin\phi_i, \cos\theta_i)$ with polar angle θ_i and azimuthal angle ϕ_i interacting via an isotropic pair potential $V(|\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j|)$ and subject to an external field $V_e(\mathbf{r}_i)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, N$ at temperature T. Particle i experiences a constant self-propulsion force along its orientation $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_i$. The motion of particle i is described by the overdamped Langevin equations

$$\dot{\mathbf{r}}_i = -\beta D_t \nabla_i \left[V_e(\mathbf{r}_i) + \sum_{j\neq i} V(|\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j|) \right] + v_0 \hat{\mathbf{e}}_i + \sqrt{2D_t}\boldsymbol{\Xi}_i^t, \quad (1)$$

$$\dot{\hat{\mathbf{e}}}_i = \sqrt{2D_r}(\hat{\mathbf{e}}_i \times \boldsymbol{\Xi}_i^r), \quad (2)$$

where D_t and D_r are the translational and rotational diffusion coefficients, $\beta = 1/k_BT$ with k_B the Boltzmann constant, and v_0 the self-propulsion speed. The collisions with the solvent are described by a stochastic force and torque characterised by random vectors $\boldsymbol{\Xi}_i^t$ and $\boldsymbol{\Xi}_i^r$ with $\langle \boldsymbol{\Xi}_i^t \rangle = \langle \boldsymbol{\Xi}_i^r \rangle = 0$ and $\langle \Xi_{i,\alpha}^t(t)\Xi_{j,\beta}^t(t')\rangle = \langle \Xi_{i,\alpha}^r(t)\Xi_{j,\beta}^r(t')\rangle = \delta_{\alpha\beta}\delta_{ij}\delta(t-t')$ with $\alpha, \beta = x, y, z$ [1].

Starting from (1) and (2), we average over the noise to derive the deterministic Fokker-Planck equation [15, 16]

$$\frac{\partial \psi(\mathbf{r}, \hat{\mathbf{e}}, t)}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r}, \hat{\mathbf{e}}, t) - \nabla_{\hat{\mathbf{e}}} \cdot \mathbf{j}_{\hat{\mathbf{e}}}(\mathbf{r}, \hat{\mathbf{e}}, t) \quad (3)$$

for the time evolution of the probability distribution function $\psi(\mathbf{r}, \hat{\mathbf{e}}, t) \equiv \langle \sum_{i=1}^N \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i)\delta(\hat{\mathbf{e}} - \hat{\mathbf{e}}_i)\rangle$ with $\langle \ldots \rangle$ the averaging over the random noise. Here we defined the translational and rotational fluxes

$$\mathbf{j} = -\beta D_t \int\int \psi^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}, \hat{\mathbf{e}}, \mathbf{r}', \hat{\mathbf{e}}', t) \nabla V(|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|) d\mathbf{r}' d\hat{\mathbf{e}}' - \Big(\beta D_t \nabla V_e(\mathbf{r}) - v_0 \hat{\mathbf{e}}\Big)\psi(\mathbf{r}, \hat{\mathbf{e}}, t) - D_t \nabla \psi(\mathbf{r}, \hat{\mathbf{e}}, t); \quad (4)$$

$$\mathbf{j}_{\hat{\mathbf{e}}} = -D_r \nabla_{\hat{\mathbf{e}}} \psi(\mathbf{r}, \hat{\mathbf{e}}, t). \quad (5)$$



FIG. 1. (a) Density profile $\rho(z)$, (b) polarization profile $m_z(z)/\rho(z)$, (c) swim potential $V_{\rm swim}(z)$ and the soft external potential $V_e(z)$ (see text), and (d) local chemical potential $\mu(z)$, all as a function of z for an active ideal gas in contact with a planar soft wall as obtained from BD simulations (solid lines) and Fokker-Planck calculations (dashed lines) for varying Peclet numbers as labeled.

We introduced here the instantaneous full two-body correlation function $\psi^{(2)}(\mathbf{r},\hat{\mathbf{e}},\mathbf{r}',\hat{\mathbf{e}}',t) \equiv \langle\sum_{i=1}^{N}\sum_{j\neq i}^{N}\delta(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_i)\delta(\hat{\mathbf{e}}-\hat{\mathbf{e}}_i)\delta(\mathbf{r}'-\mathbf{r}_j)\delta(\hat{\mathbf{e}}'-\hat{\mathbf{e}}_j)\rangle$, and hence a second relation is needed to obtain a closed set of equations, e.g., an infinite BBGKY-like hierarchy of Fokker-Planck equations for the n-body correlation functions or a mean-field approximation such as $\psi^{(2)}(\mathbf{r},\hat{\mathbf{e}},\mathbf{r}',\hat{\mathbf{e}}',t) \simeq \psi(\mathbf{r},\hat{\mathbf{e}},t)\psi(\mathbf{r}',\hat{\mathbf{e}}',t)$.

The zeroth moment $\rho(\mathbf{r},t)=\int d\hat{\mathbf{e}}\;\psi(\mathbf{r},\hat{\mathbf{e}},t)$ defines the local particle density, and its time evolution is described by the continuity equation obtained from the zeroth moment of Eq. (3),

$$\frac{\partial\rho(\mathbf{r},t)}{\partial t} = -\nabla\cdot\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r},t), \tag{6}$$

with the particle flux $\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r},t)=\int d\hat{\mathbf{e}}\;\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r},\hat{\mathbf{e}},t)$ given by

$$\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r},t) = -\beta D_t\int\rho^{(2)}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}',t)\nabla V(|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|)d\mathbf{r}' \\ -\beta D_t\;\rho(\mathbf{r},t)\nabla V_e(\mathbf{r}) + v_0\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{r},t) - D_t\nabla\rho(\mathbf{r},t). \tag{7}$$

Here $\rho^{(2)}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}',t) = \int\psi^{(2)}(\mathbf{r},\hat{\mathbf{e}},\mathbf{r}',\hat{\mathbf{e}}',t)d\hat{\mathbf{e}}d\hat{\mathbf{e}}'$ is the spatial two-body correlation function and the first moment $\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{r},t)=\int d\hat{\mathbf{e}}\;\psi(\mathbf{r},\hat{\mathbf{e}},t)\hat{\mathbf{e}}$ is the local polarization.

An equation for $\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{r},t)$ follows from the first moment of Eq. (3), which upon integration over orientations yields

$$\frac{\partial\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{r},t)}{\partial t} = \nabla\cdot\mathcal{J}_m(\mathbf{r},t) - (d-1)D_r\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{r},t), \tag{8}$$

with $d=2,3$ the spatial dimension of interest, and with

$$\mathcal{J}_m = \beta D_t\int d\hat{\mathbf{e}}\;\hat{\mathbf{e}}\int d\mathbf{r}'\int d\hat{\mathbf{e}}'\psi^{(2)}(\mathbf{r},\hat{\mathbf{e}},\mathbf{r}',\hat{\mathbf{e}}',t)\nabla V(|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|) \\ + \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{r},t)\beta D_t\nabla V_e(\mathbf{r}) - v_0\Big(\rho(\mathbf{r},t)\;\frac{\mathbb{I}}{d} + \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{r},t)\Big) \\ + D_t\nabla\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{r},t) \tag{9}$$

the two-rank momentum flux tensor, where $\mathcal{S} = \int d\hat{\mathbf{e}}\;\psi(\mathbf{r},\hat{\mathbf{e}})(\hat{\mathbf{e}}\hat{\mathbf{e}}-\mathbb{I}/d)$ is the traceless alignment tensor.

We now assume that the system is only inhomogeneous in the z-direction, due to either an external potential $V_e(z)$ or due to coexistence of two phases separated by an interface parallel to the xy-plane. Without loss of generality, we consider a large, but finite system by setting $V_e(\pm\infty)=\infty$, such that $\rho(z\to\pm\infty)=0$. From Eq. (7), we find that the particle flux in the z-direction is given by

$$J_z(z,t) = -\beta D_t\int\rho^{(2)}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}',t)\nabla V(|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|)\cdot\hat{z}d\mathbf{r}' \\ -\beta D_t\rho(z,t)\partial_z V_e(z) + v_0 m_z(z,t) - D_t\partial_z\rho(z,t). \tag{10}$$

The term $v_0 m_z(z,t)$ in Eq. (10), divided by $-\beta D_t$, has previously been interpreted as a contribution to the divergence of the stress tensor, which has led to a debate on pressure being a state function or not in active systems [15, 17, 18]. Here, however, we take another point of view, and regard this term as an activity-induced body force

$$-\rho(z,t)\partial_z V_{\rm swim}(z,t) \equiv \frac{v_0}{\beta D_t}m_z(z,t), \tag{11}$$

that is exerted on the active particles by the solvent [17, 19]. This allows us to define the so-called swim potential

$$V_{\rm swim}(z,t) = V_{\rm swim}(z_0,t) - \frac{v_0}{\beta D_t}\int_{z_0}^{z}\frac{m_z(z',t)}{\rho(z',t)}dz', \tag{12}$$

where $V_{\rm swim}(z_0,t)$ is a suitably chosen reference.

Clearly, for a homogeneous and isotropic bulk phase, for which the polarization $\mathbf{m}=0$, $V_{\rm swim}$ is a spatial constant. Interestingly, however, the value of this constant

is determined by surfaces and interfaces, where $\mathbf{m}$ can be non-zero, not unlike the Donnan potential in inhomogeneous electrolyte solutions [20, 21]. This is a reflection of the fact that the activity-induced body force on the active particles only averages out in the bulk, but not near interfaces.

We now combine Eqs. (10)-(12) to construct, in the spirit of the simplest dynamic density functional theory [22, 23] with a density-independent diffusion coefficient, a local chemical potential-like function $\mu(z,t)$ by $J_z(z,t) = -D_t\rho(z,t)\partial_z\beta\mu(z,t)$ such that

$$\mu(z,t) = \mu(z_0,t) + \mu_{\rm int}(z,t) - \mu_{\rm int}(z_0,t) + V_e(z) - V_e(z_0) + V_{\rm swim}(z,t) - V_{\rm swim}(z_0,t). \quad (13)$$

The external potential $V_e(z)$ and the intrinsic chemical potential $\mu_{\rm int}(z,t) = k_BT\ln\rho(z,t) + \mu_{\rm ex}(z,t)$, consisting of an ideal part and an excess chemical potential $\mu_{\rm ex}(z,t)$, are contributions similar to those of a passive system. Here $\mu_{\rm ex}(z,t)$ is defined by

$$\mu_{\rm ex}(z,t) = \mu_{\rm ex}(z_0,t) + \int_{z_0}^{z} dz'' \int d\mathbf{r}' \rho(z',t) g(z'',z',R'',t) \nabla'' V(|\mathbf{r}''-\mathbf{r}'|)\cdot\hat{z}, \quad (14)$$

where we define $\rho^{(2)}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}',t) = \rho(z,t)\rho(z',t)g(z,z',R,t)$ with the in-plane distance $R = \sqrt{(x-x')^2+(y-y')^2}$. Eq. (13) is consistent with the conventional chemical potential in a passive system, where $v_0 = 0$, and is constructed such that $J_z = 0$ if $\mu(z,t)$ is a spatial constant. The local chemical potential $\mu(z)$ is therefore a prime candidate to describe diffusive equilibrium of coexisting phases in stationary states of active systems. Interestingly, all terms in Eq. (13) can be determined in Brownian Dynamics (BD) simulations of ABPs.

The body-force interpretation of the polarization (11) can also be used to write the mechanical equilibrium condition of a stationary state in terms of a well-defined normal component of the stress tensor. Since the stationary state satisfies $\partial\rho(z,t)/\partial t = 0$, which from Eq. (6) is equivalent to $J_z(z) = 0$ for a macroscopically large, but finite system, we can rewrite Eq.(10) as

$$\frac{dP_N(z)}{dz} + \rho(z)\partial_z V_{\rm swim}(z) = -\rho(z)\partial_z V_e(z) \quad (15)$$

with the standard equilibrium-like expression for the (intrinsic) normal pressure

$$P_N(z) = -\int_{-\infty}^{z} dz'' \int_{z}^{\infty} dz' \int d\mathbf{R}' \rho^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}'',\mathbf{r}') \nabla'' V(|\mathbf{r}''-\mathbf{r}'|)\cdot\hat{z} + \rho(z)k_BT, \quad (16)$$

where we used Newton's third law and the symmetry of $\rho^{(2)}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')$ under particle exchange. The first term in Eq. (16) is the virial contribution that describes the z-component of the interparticle forces across a plane at z, which can be measured in a BD simulation [24]. Note



FIG. 2. Height-dependent (a) density profile $\rho(z)$, and (b) local chemical potential $\mu(z)$ of an active LJ system in an external gravity potential $V_e(z) = Mgz$ for $\beta Mg\sigma = 3$ and 5, and Peclet number Pe=0 (blue), 10 (green) and 20 (red) from BD simulations. (c) Normal pressure $P_N(\rho,{\rm Pe})$ and (d) intrinsic chemical potential $\mu_{\rm int}(\rho,{\rm Pe})$ showing a proper collapse for different $\beta Mg\sigma$ but *not* for Pe. The black dotted line in (c) denotes the ideal gas law.

that we did *not* add a swim pressure [15, 17] to the "intrinsic" P_N, but instead treated the activity at the level of a swim potential $V_{\rm swim}$ in the force balance (15), which turns out to be crucial for interpreting the (osmotic) pressure as a state function [19]. However, in order to connect to existing literature, and for later reference, we do define

$$P_{\rm swim}(z) - P_{\rm swim}(z_0) = \int_{z_0}^{z} \rho(z')\partial_{z'}V_{\rm swim}(z')dz' = -\frac{v_0 k_BT}{(d-1)D_tD_r}\left(\mathcal{J}_{m,zz}(z) - \mathcal{J}_{m,zz}(z_0)\right) \quad (17)$$

with the zz-component of $\mathcal{J}_m$ given by

$$\mathcal{J}_{m,zz}(z) = -\frac{v_0}{d}\rho(z) + m_z(z)\beta D_t\partial_z V_e(z) + \beta D_t \int d\hat{\mathbf{e}} \int d\mathbf{r}' d\hat{\mathbf{e}}' \psi^{(2)}(\mathbf{r},\hat{\mathbf{e}},\mathbf{r}',\hat{\mathbf{e}}',t)(\nabla V(|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|)\cdot\hat{z})\cos\theta - v_0\mathcal{S}_{zz}(z) + D_t\partial_z m_z(z), \quad (18)$$

which reduces to the conventional swim pressure $P_{\rm swim}(z_b) = \rho(z_b)v_0^2 k_BT/(d(d-1)D_tD_r)$ in an ideal active bulk fluid at $z = z_b$ [5, 9]. Note that our local swim



FIG. 3. Scaled pressure-density P-ρ (a) and chemical potential-pressure μ-P (b) relations of an active LJ fluid at several temperatures k_BT/ϵ, Peclet Pe= $v_0/\sigma D_r = 0.4$, and $D_r\sigma^2/D_t = 20$. The inset shows the temperature-density gas-liquid binodals as obtained from direct coexistence simulations (■) and equating μ and P in the coexisting phases (▲) of an active LJ fluid. The binodal for a passive LJ fluid (●) is shown for comparison.

pressure (17) deviates from previous expressions [25, 26] due to the gradient term $\partial_z m_z$. This term plays a non-neglible role in the force balance obtained from Eq. (15). Below, we apply the formalism of Eqs. (12) to (17) to active fluids (i) in contact with a short-ranged planar soft wall, (ii) subject to a gravitational field, and (iii) exhibiting a planar interface between coexisting gas and liquid bulk states. We show that in all three cases the stationary state is indeed described by constant $\mu(z)$ in both the Fokker-Planck calculations and BD simulations.

Case (i) concerns a three-dimensional active ideal gas (with $V(r) = 0$) at Peclet number $\mathrm{Pe} = v_0/\sigma D_r = 0$ (passive), 1, 3, 5, in the external potential $\beta V_e(z) = (z/\sigma)^2$ for $z < 0$ and $V_e(z) = 0$ for $z > 0$, where the diameter $\sigma = \sqrt{3D_t/D_r}$ is the unit of length satisfying the Stokes-Einstein relation for spheres. For large, but finite $z = z_b \gtrsim 3\sigma$, the active fluid reaches a bulk state with density $\rho_b = \rho(z_b)$, and the normal pressure reduces to the bulk pressure $P_b = P_N(z_b) = k_BT\rho_b$. In Fig. 1, we show the profiles $\rho(z)$ and $m_z(z)/\rho(z)$. We observe that the particles penetrate more into the wall at higher Pe resulting into a more extended $\rho(z)$ and a negative $m_z(z)$, corresponding to particles oriented towards the wall, whereas $m_z(z) = 0$ in the bulk. Fig. 1 also shows $V_{\mathrm{swim}}(z)$ as obtained from Eq. (12) and $\mu(z)$ from Eq. (13), the latter indeed being constant within our statistical accuracy of $\sim 0.1k_BT$. Clearly, for μ to be constant it is crucial that $V_{\mathrm{swim}}(z)$, which is attractive consistent with the extended density profile close to the wall, is included in Eq.(13); ignoring this contribution of $10 - 30k_BT$ would *not* have yielded a constant chemical potential in the stationary state. Apart from the excellent agreement between the calculations and the simulations, we also note that although the chemical potential was constructed to be a spatially constant within the Fokker-Planck formalism combined with the body-force interpretation of the polarization, it is comforting to see that the BD simulations confirm this.

Case (ii) concerns simulations of active Lennard-Jones (LJ) particles, $V(r) = 4\epsilon((\sigma/r)^{12} - (\sigma/r)^6)$ at $k_BT/\epsilon = 1.25$ in the gravitational potential $V_e(z) = Mgz$ for $z > 0$ with a hard 'bottom' at $z = 0$, with M the particle mass. This system is supercritical even in the passive case. We show, for Pe=0,10, and 20 and $\beta Mg\sigma = 3$ and 5, the profiles $\rho(z)$ and $\mu(z)$ in Fig. 2, whereas $m_z(z)/\rho(z)$ and the corresponding profiles of $V_e(z)$, $V_{\mathrm{swim}}(z)$ are shown in Ref. [27]. In addition, we show in Fig. 2 (c) both P_N and μ_{int} as a function of ρ as obtained from eliminating z from $P_N(z)$ and $\rho(z)$ for all cases that we studied. We observe that the data only collapse at fixed Pe, revealing that $P_N(\rho,\mathrm{Pe})$ and $\mu_{\mathrm{int}}(\rho,\mathrm{Pe})$ are state functions, provided that the activity of the particles is described in terms of a swim potential.

Case (iii) concerns an active LJ fluid, but without gravity ($V_e(z) = 0$), and at subcritical temperatures such that coexistence of a gas and a liquid with densities ρ_g and ρ_l, respectively is to be expected at overall intermediate densities $\rho_g < \rho < \rho_l$ in an elongated simulation box [6, 28]. In the SI, we show the profiles $\rho(z)$, $P(z) = P_N(z) + P_{\mathrm{swim}}(z)$, $\mu(z) = \mu_{\mathrm{int}}(z) + V_{\mathrm{swim}}(z)$, and we clearly observe that $P(z_g) = P(z_l)$ and $\mu(z_g) = \mu(z_l)$ with $z_{g/l}$ a position in the bulk gas/liquid. In order to check if we can predict phase coexistence solely from bulk quantities, we perform BD simulations of bulk states of ABPs at several temperatures k_BT/ϵ, Peclet Pe=0.4 and $D_r\sigma^2/D_t = 20$, and measure the bulk pressure P as a function of ρ in a simulation box small enough to prevent phase separation. Using the Gibbs-Duhem equation $d\mu = dP/\rho$ and integrating the equation of state $P(\rho)$, we obtain $\mu(P)$ for several T's as shown in Fig. 3 with coexistence at the intersections. We show in the inset of Fig. 3(b) the binodals in the (scaled) temperature-density plane as obtained from the density profiles from direct coexistence simulations and from the bulk $\mu(P)$ intersections, with excellent agreement. We note that our elongated simulation box forces the system to phase separate with a planar interface parallel to the xy-plane – only for such a geometry $J_z(z) = 0$ allowing us to write explicit expressions for mechanical and diffusive equilibrium. In all other geometries, $\nabla \cdot J = 0$ in a stationary

state, which allows for swirls since $\nabla \times J$ is not necessarily zero.

In conclusion, we have constructed expression (13) for the local chemical potential $\mu(z)$ for active fluids, which includes the swim potential $V_{\rm swim}$ of Eq. (12) on top of ideal, excess, and external contributions well-known from equilibrium. Our BD simulations confirm that $\mu(z)$ is a spatially constant in steady states of several inhomogeneous ideal and LJ fluids of active particles, with $V_{\rm swim}$ an important contribution that counteracts the external potential $V_e(z)$ in all cases. The regime of applicability of Eq. (13) is limited by the underlying dynamic DFT relation, where a ρ-independent diffusion coefficient D_t is assumed. An extension to account for a ρ-dependent diffusion coefficient as well as a detailed investigation of the regime in which our approach holds in terms of the magnitude of the external force $|\nabla V_e(z)|$ in comparison to k_BT/σ and k_BTv_0/D_t is left for a future study. Moreover, our formalism opens new avenues towards a Fokker-Planck and dynamic density functional description (of stationary states) of active systems.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

S.P. and M.D. acknowledge funding from the Industrial Partnership Programme "Computational Sciences for Energy Research" (Grant No.14CSER020) of the Foundation for Fundamental Re- search on Matter (FOM), which is part of the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO). This research programme is co-financed by Shell Global Solutions International B.V. This work is part of the D-ITP consortium, a program of the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO) that is funded by the Dutch Ministry of Education, Culture and Science (OCW). We acknowledge funding of an NWO-VICI grant.

* M.Dijkstra@uu.nl

[1] Y. Fily and M. C. Marchetti, Physical review letters **108**, 235702 (2012).
[2] G. S. Redner, M. F. Hagan, and A. Baskaran, Physical review letters **110**, 055701 (2013).
[3] A. Wysocki, R. G. Winkler, and G. Gompper, EPL (Europhysics Letters) **105**, 48004 (2014).
[4] J. Bialké, T. Speck, and H. Löwen, Physical review letters **108**, 168301 (2012).
[5] S. C. Takatori, W. Yan, and J. F. Brady, Physical Review Letters **113**, 028103 (2014).
[6] T. F. Farage, P. Krinninger, and J. M. Brader, Physical Review E **91**, 042310 (2015).
[7] V. Prymidis, H. Sielcken, and L. Filion, Soft matter **11**, 4158 (2015).
[8] R. Ni, M. A. C. Stuart, and M. Dijkstra, Nature communications **4** (2013).
[9] S. C. Takatori and J. F. Brady, Physical Review E **91**, 032117 (2015).
[10] J. Palacci, C. Cottin-Bizonne, C. Ybert, and L. Bocquet, Physical Review Letters **105**, 088304 (2010).
[11] M. Enculescu and H. Stark, Physical Review Letters **107**, 058301 (2011).
[12] G. Szamel, Physical Review E **90**, 012111 (2014).
[13] F. Ginot, I. Theurkauff, D. Levis, C. Ybert, L. Bocquet, L. Berthier, and C. Cottin-Bizonne, Physical Review X **5**, 011004 (2015).
[14] A. Solon, M. Cates, and J. Tailleur, The European Physical Journal Special Topics **224**, 1231 (2015).
[15] A. P. Solon, Y. Fily, A. Baskaran, M. E. Cates, Y. Kafri, M. Kardar, and J. Tailleur, Nature Physics (2015).
[16] A. P. Solon, J. Stenhammar, R. Wittkowski, M. Kardar, Y. Kafri, M. E. Cates, and J. Tailleur, Physical Review Letters **114**, 198301 (2015).
[17] W. Yan and J. F. Brady, Soft Matter **11**, 6235 (2015).
[18] T. Speck and R. L. Jack, Physical Review E **93**, 062605 (2016).
[19] J. Rodenburg, M. Dijkstra, and R. van Roij, to be submitted (2016).
[20] E. Verwey and K. Niessen, The London, Edinburgh, and Dublin Philosophical Magazine and Journal of Science **28**, 435 (1939).
[21] M. Bier, J. Zwanikken, and R. van Roij, Physical Review Letters **101**, 046104 (2008).
[22] U. M. B. Marconi and P. Tarazona, The Journal of Chemical Physics **110**, 8032 (1999).
[23] A. J. Archer, The Journal of Chemical Physics **130**, 014509 (2009).
[24] T. Ikeshoji, B. Hafskjold, and H. Furuholt, Molecular Simulation **29**, 101 (2003).
[25] X. Yang, M. L. Manning, and M. C. Marchetti, Soft Matter **10**, 6477.
[26] R. G. Winkler, A. Wysocki, and G. Gompper, Soft Matter **11**, 6680 (2015).
[27] See Supplemental Material at http://link.aps.org/supplemental/...../PhysRevLett.....
[28] V. Prymidis, S. Paliwal, M. Dijkstra, and L. Filion, The Journal of Chemical Physics , submitted (2016).